

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,355 05/25/2001		05/25/2001	Boris Vasilyevich Rozynov	11816.51USD1	6143	
23552	7590	01/29/2004	•	EXAMINER		
MERCHAN	NT & GO	ULD PC	CAIN, EDWARD J			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1714	4	
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	•
		09/866	6,355	ROZYNOV ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
			d J. Cain	1714	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	unication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In normmunication. (30) days, a reply within the a statutory period will apply are ply will, by statute, cause the safter the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi id will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commit BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)[Responsive to communication(s) to	filed on			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice.				erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-19 and</u> Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>46-56</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restrict	<u>d 32-45</u> is/are withdra		ration.	
Applicati	on Papers		•		
10)	The specification is objected to by The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob-Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	re: a) accepted or ejection to the drawing(ng the correction is rec	s) be held in abeya quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·			
12) ☐ a) [* S 13) ☐ A si 33 a) 14) ☐ A	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internative the attached detailed Office acticknowledgment is made of a claiming a specific reference was included the complete the translation of the foreign Incknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made of a claim afterence was included in the first settlement.	ty documents have by documents have best of the priority docutional Bureau (PCT bettion for a list of the confor domestic priority ded in the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority	peen received. peen received in A peen received in A pents have been Rule 17.2(a)). pertified copies not y under 35 U.S.C. nce of the specific application has b y under 35 U.S.C.	Application No I received in this National State received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Data and application Data and application Data seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a second and application and application Data and	plication) ta Sheet. pecific
Attachment	c(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/866,355

Art Unit: 1714

The restriction requirement made on August 20, 2002 is recast as follows:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19 and 33-45, drawn to a printed packaging material, classified in class 428, subclass 195.
- II. Claims 20-31 and 46-56, drawn to aqueous polymer solutions, classified in class 524, subclass 547.
- III. Claim 32, drawn to a printing process, classified in class 1-1, subclass 2.

Inventions **Group II* and Group I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as printing solution for other than packaging materials and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group III and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Application/Control Number: 09/866,355

Art Unit: 1714

that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to produce articles other than packaging materials.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mark DiPietro on August 20, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 20-31 and 46-56. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-19 and 32-45 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1714

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 46-56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,541,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the recitation of "a reactive chemistry on the substrate" is seen as an intended use and doesn't patentably distinguish from the solutions of the instant claims.

Claims 20-31 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714